

SUPERSTABILITY OF ADJOINTABLE MAPPINGS ON HILBERT C^* -MODULES

Michael Frank, Pasc Găvruta and Mohammad Sal Moslehian

Dedicated to the Memory of Professor D. S. Mitrinović

We define the notion of φ -perturbation of a densely defined adjointable mapping and prove that any such mapping f between Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -modules over a fixed C^* -algebra $\mathcal{A}$ with densely defined corresponding mapping g is $\mathcal{A}$ -linear and adjointable in the classical sense with adjoint g . If both f and g are everywhere defined then they are bounded. Our work concerns with the concept of Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability originated from the Th.M. Rassias’ stability theorem that appeared in his paper [On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1978), 297–300]. We also indicate interesting complementary results in the case where the Hilbert C^* -modules admit non-adjointable C^* -linear mappings.

1. INTRODUCTION

We say a functional equation $(\mathcal{E})$ is *stable* if any function g approximately satisfying the equation $(\mathcal{E})$ is near to an exact solution of $(\mathcal{E})$. The equation $(\mathcal{E})$ is called *superstable* if every approximate solution of $(\mathcal{E})$ is indeed a solution (see [5] for another notion of superstability namely *superstability modulo the bounded functions*). More than a half century ago, S.M. Ulam [23] proposed the first stability problem which was partially solved by D.H. Hyers [10] in the framework of Banach spaces. Later, T. Aoki [3] proved the stability of the additive mapping and Th.M. Rassias [20] proved the stability of the linear mapping for mappings f from a normed space into a Banach space such that the norm of the Cauchy difference $f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)$ is bounded by the expression $\varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$ for some $\varepsilon \geq 0$, for some $0 \leq p < 1$ and for all x, y . The terminology “Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability” was indeed originated from Th.M. Rassias’s paper [20]. In 1994, a further generalization was obtained by P. Găvruta [9], in which he replaced the bound $\varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$ by a general control function $\varphi(x, y)$. This terminology can be applied to functional equations and mappings on various generalized notions of Hilbert spaces; see [1, 2, 4]. We refer the interested reader to monographs [6, 7, 11, 13, 19, 22] and references therein for more information.

The notion of Hilbert C^* -module is a generalization of the notion of Hilbert space. This object was first used by I. Kaplansky [14]. Interacting with the theory of

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 46L08; Secondary 47B48, 39B52, 46L05
Keywords and Phrases: Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability, superstability, Hilbert C^* -module, C^* -algebra, φ -perturbation of an adjointable mapping.

operator algebras and including ideas from non-commutative geometry it progresses and produces results and new problems attracting attention, see [8, 15, 18].

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a C^* -algebra and $\mathcal{X}$ be a complex linear space, which is a right $\mathcal{A}$ -module with a scalar multiplication satisfying $\lambda(xa) = x(\lambda a) = (\lambda x)a$ for $x \in \mathcal{X}, a \in \mathcal{A}, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. The space $\mathcal{X}$ is called a (right) pre-Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module if there exists an $\mathcal{A}$ -inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfying

- (i) $\langle x, x \rangle \geq 0$ and $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$;
- (ii) $\langle x, y + \lambda z \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle + \lambda \langle x, z \rangle$;
- (iii) $\langle x, ya \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle a$;
- (iv) $\langle x, y \rangle^* = \langle y, x \rangle$;

for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, a \in \mathcal{A}$. The pre-Hilbert module $\mathcal{X}$ is called a (right) Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module if it is complete with respect to the norm $\|x\| = \|\langle x, x \rangle\|^{1/2}$. Left Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -modules can be defined in a similar way. Two typical examples are

- (I) Every inner product space is a left pre-Hilbert $\mathbb{C}$ -module.
- (II) Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a C^* -algebra. Then every norm-closed right ideal I of $\mathcal{A}$ is a Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module if one defines $\langle a, b \rangle = a^*b$ ($a, b \in I$).

A mapping $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ between Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -modules is called adjointable if there exists a mapping $g : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ such that $\langle f(x), y \rangle = \langle x, g(y) \rangle$ for all $x \in \mathcal{D}(f) \subseteq \mathcal{X}, y \in \mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{Y}$. Throughout the paper, we assume that f and g are both everywhere defined or both densely defined. The unique mapping g is denoted by f^* and is called the adjoint of f .

An $\mathcal{A}$ -linear bounded operator K on a Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module $\mathcal{X}$ is called “compact” if it belongs to the norm-closed linear span of the set of all elementary operators $\theta_{x,y}$ ($x, y \in \mathcal{X}$) defined by $\theta_{x,y}(z) = x\langle y, z \rangle$ ($z \in \mathcal{X}$).

In this paper, we prove the superstability of adjointable mappings on Hilbert C^* -modules in the spirit of Hyers–Ulam–Rassias and indicate interesting complementary results in the case where the Hilbert C^* -modules admit non-adjointable C^* -linear mappings.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Throughout this section, $\mathcal{A}$ denotes a C^* -algebra, $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ denote Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -modules, and $\varphi : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a function. We start our work with the following definition.

Definition 2.1. A (not necessarily linear) mapping $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is called a φ -perturbation of an adjointable mapping if there exists a (not necessarily linear) corresponding mapping $g : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad \|\langle f(x), y \rangle - \langle x, g(y) \rangle\| \leq \varphi(x, y) \quad (x \in \mathcal{D}(f) \subseteq \mathcal{X}, y \in \mathcal{D}(g) \subseteq \mathcal{Y}).$$

To prove our main result, we need the following known lemma (cf. [15, p. 8]) that we prove it for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 2.2. *Every densely defined adjointable mapping between Hilbert C^* -modules over a fixed C^* -algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is $\mathcal{A}$ -linear. If the adjointable mapping is everywhere defined then it is bounded.*

Proof. Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ and $g : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be a pair of densely defined adjointable mappings between two Hilbert C^* -modules $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$. For every $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathcal{D}(f) \subseteq \mathcal{X}$, every $y \in \mathcal{D}(g) \subseteq \mathcal{Y}$, every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, every $a \in \mathcal{A}$ the following equality holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f(\lambda x_1 + x_2 + x_3 a), y \rangle &= \langle \lambda x_1 + x_2 + x_3 a, g(y) \rangle \\ &= \lambda \langle x_1, g(y) \rangle + \langle x_2, g(y) \rangle + a^* \langle x_3, g(y) \rangle \\ &= \lambda \langle f(x_1), y \rangle + \langle f(x_2), y \rangle + a^* \langle f(x_3), y \rangle \\ &= \langle \lambda f(x_1) + f(x_2) + f(x_3) a, y \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

By the density of the domain of g in $\mathcal{Y}$ the equality yields the $\mathcal{A}$ -linearity of f .

Now, suppose f and g to be everywhere defined on $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$, respectively. For each x in the unit sphere of $\mathcal{X}$, define $\tau_x : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ by $\tau_x(y) = \langle f(x), y \rangle = \langle x, g(y) \rangle$. Then $\|\tau_x(y)\| \leq \|x\| \|g(y)\| \leq \|g(y)\|$ for any x from the unit ball. By the Banach–Steinhaus theorem we conclude that the set $\{\|\tau_x\| : x \in \mathcal{X}, \|x\| \leq 1\}$ is bounded. Due to the equality $\|f(x)\| = \sup_{\|y\| \leq 1} \|\langle f(x), y \rangle\| = \sup_{\|y\|=1} \|\tau_x(y)\| = \|\tau_x\|$ the mapping f has to be bounded. $\square$

Theorem 2.3. *Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a φ -perturbation of an adjointable mapping with corresponding mapping $g : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. Suppose that the mappings f and g are everywhere defined on the respective Hilbert C^* -modules. Furthermore, suppose that for some sequence $\{c_n\}$ of non-zero complex numbers either both the conditions (2.2) and (2.3) or both the conditions (2.4) and (2.5) below hold for the perturbation bound mapping $\varphi(x, y)$:*

$$(2.2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |c_n|^{-1} \varphi(c_n x, y) = 0 \quad (x \in \mathcal{X}, y \in \mathcal{Y})$$

$$(2.3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |c_n|^{-1} \varphi(x, c_n y) = 0 \quad (x \in \mathcal{X}, y \in \mathcal{Y}),$$

$$(2.4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |c_n| \varphi(c_n^{-1} x, y) = 0 \quad (x \in \mathcal{X}, y \in \mathcal{Y})$$

$$(2.5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |c_n| \varphi(x, c_n^{-1} y) = 0 \quad (x \in \mathcal{X}, y \in \mathcal{Y}).$$

Then f is adjointable. In particular, f is bounded, continuous and $\mathcal{A}$ -linear, as well as its adjoint is g .

Proof. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ be an arbitrary number. Replacing x by λx in (2.1), we get

$$\|\langle f(\lambda x), y \rangle - \langle \lambda x, g(y) \rangle\| \leq \varphi(\lambda x, y),$$

and since a multiplication of (2.1) by $|\lambda|$ yields

$$\|\langle \lambda f(x), y \rangle - \langle \lambda x, g(y) \rangle\| \leq |\lambda| \varphi(x, y)$$

we obtain

$$(2.6) \quad \|\langle f(\lambda x), y \rangle - \langle \lambda f(x), y \rangle\| \leq \varphi(\lambda x, y) + |\lambda| \varphi(x, y)$$

If (2.3) holds, we take $c_n y$ instead y in (2.6) to get

$$\|\langle f(\lambda x), y \rangle - \langle \lambda f(x), y \rangle\| \leq |c_n|^{-1} \varphi(\lambda x, c_n y) + |\lambda| |c_n|^{-1} \varphi(x, c_n y)$$

and, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$(2.7) \quad \langle f(\lambda x), y \rangle = \langle \lambda f(x), y \rangle \quad (x \in \mathcal{X}, y \in \mathcal{Y}).$$

If (2.5) holds, we take $c_n^{-1} y$ instead y in (2.6) and we arrive also at (2.7). Therefore,

$$(2.8) \quad f(\lambda x) = \lambda f(x) \quad (x \in \mathcal{X}, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}).$$

If (2.2) holds, we take $c_n x$ instead x in (2.1) to get

$$\|\langle f(c_n x), y \rangle - \langle c_n x, g(y) \rangle\| \leq \varphi(c_n x, y)$$

and, by (2.7), we obtain

$$\|\langle f(x), y \rangle - \langle x, g(y) \rangle\| \leq |c_n|^{-1} \varphi(c_n x, y)$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we conclude that

$$(2.9) \quad \langle f(x), y \rangle = \langle x, g(y) \rangle \quad (x \in \mathcal{X}, y \in \mathcal{Y}).$$

Hence f is adjointable and admits the mapping g as its adjoint.

Alternatively, if (2.4) holds, we take $c_n^{-1} x$ instead x in (2.6) and arrive at the same conclusion (2.9). By Lemma 2.2 the mapping f is $\mathcal{A}$ -linear and bounded with the adjoint g . $\square$

Using the sequence $c_n = 2^n$ we get the following results.

Corollary 2.4. *If $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is an everywhere defined φ -perturbation of an adjointable mapping, where $\varphi(x, y) = \varepsilon \|x\|^p \|y\|^q$ ($\alpha > 0, p \neq 1, q \neq 1$), then f is adjointable and hence a bounded C^* -linear mapping.*

Corollary 2.5. *If $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is an everywhere defined φ -perturbation of an adjointable mapping, where $\varphi(x, y) = \varepsilon_1 \|x\|^p + \varepsilon_2 \|y\|^q$ ($\varepsilon_1 \geq 0, \varepsilon_2 \geq 0, p \neq 1, q \neq 1$), then f is adjointable and hence a bounded C^* -linear mapping.*

We would like to point out that the proof of Theorem 2.3 works equally well in the case that the functions f and g are well-defined merely on norm-dense subsets of $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$, respectively. This case covers the situation of pairs of adjoint to each other, densely defined $\mathcal{A}$ -linear operators between pairs of Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -modules. However, since boundedness cannot be demonstrated, in general, in that case we arrive at the following statement:

Theorem 2.6. *Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a φ -perturbation of an adjointable mapping with corresponding mapping $g : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. Suppose, that the mappings f and g are densely defined on the respective Hilbert C^* -modules. Furthermore, suppose that for the perturbation bound mapping $\varphi(x, y)$ either both the conditions (2.2) and (2.3), or both the conditions (2.4) and (2.5) hold. Then f is adjointable. In particular, f is $\mathcal{A}$ -linear, as well as its adjoint is g .*

Corollary 2.7. *The equation $f(x)^*y = xg(y)^*$ ($x \in \mathcal{I}, y \in \mathcal{J}$) is superstable, where*

$f : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ and $g : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$ are adjoint to each other, densely defined $\mathcal{A}$ -linear mappings between right ideals $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}$ of $\mathcal{A}$.

The critical case of φ -perturbations is that one where the function φ satisfies neither the pair of conditions (i) and (ii), nor the pair of conditions (i') and (ii'). We demonstrate that there may exist φ -perturbed bounded C^* -linear mappings f on certain types of Hilbert C^* -modules $\mathcal{X}$ over certain C^* -algebras $\mathcal{A}$ which are not adjointable. Moreover, any non-adjointable bounded C^* -linear mapping f on suitably selected Hilbert C^* -modules $\mathcal{X}$ can be φ -perturbed by “compact” operators on $\mathcal{X}$ using this type of perturbation functions.

Proposition 2.8. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module over a given C^* -algebra $\mathcal{A}$. Suppose there exists a non-adjointable bounded $\mathcal{A}$ -linear mapping $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$, (so $\mathcal{X}$ cannot be self-dual by [15, 8]). Then there exist (at least countably many) positive constants c_α and respective “compact” $\mathcal{A}$ -linear operators $K_\alpha : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ ($\alpha \in I$) such that f is ϕ -perturbed for a function $\phi(x, y) = c_\alpha \cdot \|x\| \cdot \|y\|$ and for $g = K_\alpha^*$.*

Proof. By results of Huaxin Lin [16], [17, Thm. 1.5], the Banach algebra $End_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X})$ of all bounded $\mathcal{A}$ -linear mappings on $\mathcal{X}$ is the left multiplier algebra of the C^* -algebra $K_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X})$ of all “compact” $\mathcal{A}$ -linear operators on $\mathcal{X}$. Since $End_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X})$ is the completion of $K_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X})$ with respect to the left strict topology defined by the set of semi-norms $\{\|\cdot K\| : K \in K_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X})\}$, there exists a bounded net $\{K_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ of “compact” operators such that the set $\{K_\alpha K : \alpha \in I\}$ converges with respect to the operator norm to fK for any single “compact” operator K . Therefore,

$$0 = \lim_{\alpha \in I} \|\langle (fK - K_\alpha K)(x), y \rangle\| = \lim_{\alpha \in I} \|\langle (f - K_\alpha)K(x), y \rangle\|$$

for any “compact” operator K . However, the set $\{K(x) : K \in K_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X}), x \in \mathcal{X}\}$ is norm-dense in $\mathcal{X}$, hence

$$\|\langle f(x), y \rangle - \langle K_\alpha(x), y \rangle\| \leq \|f - K_\alpha\| \cdot \|x\| \cdot \|y\|$$

for any $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ and any $\alpha \in I$. Setting $c_\alpha = \|f - K_\alpha\|$ for any fixed index α and taking into account the adjointability of the operators $\{K_\alpha\}$ we arrive at the desired result. $\square$

Corollary 2.9. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module over a given C^* -algebra $\mathcal{A}$. Suppose there exists a non-adjointable bounded $\mathcal{A}$ -linear mapping $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. Then there does not exist any φ -perturbation of f such that $\varphi(x, y)$ satisfies either both the conditions (2.2) and (2.3) or both the conditions (2.4) and (2.5).*

REFERENCES

- [1] M. AMYARI: *Stability of C^* -inner products*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **322** (2006), 214–218.

- [2] M. AMYARI, M. S. MOSLEHIAN: *Stability of derivations on Hilbert C^* -modules*, Topological Algebras and Applications, 31–39, Contemp. Math. 724, 2007.
- [3] T. AOKI: *On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **2** (1950), 64–66.
- [4] C. BAAK, H. Y. CHU, M. S. MOSLEHIAN: *On linear n -inner product preserving mappings*, Math. Inequal. Appl., **9** (2006), no. 3, 453–464.
- [5] J. BAKER: *The stability of the cosine equation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **74** (1979), 242–246.
- [6] S. CZERWIK (ED.): *Stability of Functional Equations of Ulam–Hyers–Rassias Type*, Hadronic Press Inc., Palm Harbor, Florida, 2003.
- [7] S. CZERWIK: *Functional equations and inequalities in several variables*, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2002.
- [8] M. FRANK: *Geometrical aspects of Hilbert C^* -modules*, Positivity **3** (1999), 215–243.
- [9] P. GĂVRUȚA: *A generalization of the Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **184** (1994), 431–436.
- [10] D. H. HYERS: *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **27** (1941), 222–224.
- [11] D. H. HYERS, G. ISAC, TH. M. RASSIAS: *Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Birkhauser, Boston, Basel, Berlin, 1998.
- [12] D. H. HYERS, TH. M. RASSIAS: *Approximate homomorphisms*, Aequationes Math. **44** (1992), no. 2-3, 125–153.
- [13] S.-M. JUNG: *Hyers–Ulam–Rassias Stability of Functional Equations in Mathematical Analysis*, Hadronic Press, Palm Harbor, Florida, 2001.
- [14] I. KAPLANSKY: *Modules over operator algebras*, Amer. J. Math. **75** (1953), 839–858.
- [15] E. C. LANCE: *Hilbert C^* -Modules*, LMS Lecture Note Series 210, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995.
- [16] H. LIN: *Hilbert C^* -modules and their bounded module maps*, Sci. China, Ser. A **34** (1991), no. 12, 1409–1421.
- [17] H. LIN: *Bounded module maps and pure completely positive mappings*, J. Operator Theory **26** (1991), 121–138.
- [18] V. M. MANUILOV, E. V. TROITSKY: *Hilbert C^* -modules*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 226. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005.
- [19] D. S. MITRINOVIĆ: *Analytic inequalities*, In cooperation with P. M. Vasić, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 165, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1970.
- [20] TH. M. RASSIAS: *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1978), 297–300.
- [21] TH. M. RASSIAS: *On the stability of functional equations and a problem of Ulam*, Acta Appl. Math. **62** (2000), no. 1, 23–130.
- [22] TH. M. RASSIAS (ED.): *Functional Equations, Inequalities and Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston, London, 2003.
- [23] S. M. ULAM: *Problems in Modern Mathematics*, Chapter VI, Science Editions, Wiley, New York, 1964.

Michael Frank: Hochschule für Technik, Wirtschaft und Kultur (HTWK) Leipzig, Fachbereich Informatik, Mathematik und Naturwissenschaften (FbIMN), PF 301166, D-04251 Leipzig, Germany.
mfrank@imn.htwk-leipzig.de

Pasc Găvruta: Department of Mathematics, University ‘Politehnica’ of Timișoara, Piața Victoriei, No. 2, 300006 Timișoara, Romania.
pgavruta@yahoo.com

Mohammad Sal Moslehian: Department of Pure Mathematics, Ferdowsi University of
Mashhad, P. O. Box 1159, Mashhad 91775, Iran;
Centre of Excellence in Analysis on Algebraic Structures (CEAAS), Ferdowsi University
of Mashhad, Iran.
moslehian@ferdowsi.um.ac.ir and moslehian@ams.org